

A Comparative Analysis of Leadership Styles and Their Impact On Employee Motivation in Contemporary Work Environments

AUTHOR(S): OJE Temitope Abiodun,
ADENIYI Sarafadeen Diran (PhD)

Abstract

Effective leadership styles are crucial in today's changing workplace because they affect employee motivation and, in turn, organizational success. The effects of several leadership philosophies on worker motivation, such as transformational, transactional, servant, and democratic leadership. This study analyzes how each leadership style appears in modern firms and evaluates how well it promotes employee engagement, satisfaction, and performance based on empirical data and current literature. Contextual elements including labor demographics, industry conventions, and company culture that may affect the applicability and efficacy of certain leadership philosophies. This study advances our knowledge of the intricate relationship between employee motivation and leadership styles in the varied and dynamic workplaces of today by fusing theoretical frameworks with practical findings.

Keywords: types of leadership, motivation of employees, transformational leadership, leadership that is transactional, Democratic leadership and servant leadership,

IJARBAS 1

Accepted 25 November 2025
Published 29 November 2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17765325



About Author

Author(s):

OJE Temitope Abiodun

Scholar College of Business and Leadership, Eastern University,
St Davids, Pennsylvania, USA

topeoje1@gmail.com

ADENIYI Sarafadeen Diran (PhD)

Department of Business Administration, Lincoln University
College Malaysia, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia.

adeniyisarafadeen2010@gmail.com

Introduction

In the quickly changing corporate environment of today, which is marked by globalization, technology breakthroughs, and shifting employee expectations, successful organizational performance depends heavily on competent leadership. Motivating teams is one of a leader's main duties since inspired workers are more involved, effective, and dedicated to reaching company objectives. Therefore, understanding the relationship between leadership styles and employee motivation has become a priority for both researchers and practitioners. how different leadership ideologies affect employees' motivation in the modern workplace. With the ultimate objective of providing knowledge that could direct leadership techniques and enhance organizational results, the study attempts to clarify the ways in which different leadership philosophies affect employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance.

Leadership philosophies have a significant impact on how the workplace is shaped and how employees behave. While more recent paradigms like transformational and servant leadership place an emphasis on inspiring vision, empowerment, and service to others, Conventional leadership approaches, such as transactional leadership, focus on motivating employees through rewards and penalties. Democratic leadership also encourages collaboration and group decision-making, which gives team members a sense of commitment and pride a variety of leadership theories and how they impact employee motivation in the modern workplace. We seek to determine the advantages and disadvantages of each leadership style and comprehend how they appear in various organizational contexts by combining the best available research and actual data. the influence of contextual elements on the efficacy of various leadership philosophies, including worker demographics, industry standards, and company culture. We may gain a better understanding of why particular leadership styles could be more appropriate or effective in particular circumstances by taking these contextual nuances into account. We hope that this comparative analysis will give management practitioners, HR specialists, and organizational leaders useful information.

By adapting their leadership tactics to the variety of leadership styles and how they impact employee motivation, organizations can better satisfy the requirements and expectations of their workforce. This will ultimately promote an innovative, high-performing, and resilient organizational culture.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of the study is to comparatively analysis of leadership styles and their impact on employee motivation in contemporary work environments.

- i. Analyze the impact of transactional leadership on the compensation structure of a few Nigerian beverage manufacturing firms.
- ii. Examine the effects of transformational leadership on the training and growth of particular Nigerian beverage manufacturing firms.

Research Questions

- i. i. What impact does a transactional leadership style have on the compensation structure of particular Nigerian beverage manufacturing firms?
- ii. ii. How much does a transformational leadership style impact the training and growth of particular Nigerian beverage manufacturing companies?

Research Hypotheses

H₀: The compensation structures of a few Nigerian beverage manufacturing enterprises are not significantly impacted by transactional leadership behaviors.

H₀ Selected Nigerian beverage manufacturing enterprises' training and development are unaffected by transformational leadership.

The Importance of Leadership in Employee Motivation

Encouraging employee motivation at work requires effective leadership. Leaders impact their team members' attitudes and behaviors by acting as inspiration, guidance, and support catalysts. Leaders foster an environment where people feel appreciated, involved, and encouraged to put out their best efforts by offering a clear vision, direction, and acknowledgment. Leadership establishes the tone for performance and organizational culture, influencing how staff members view their jobs and their dedication to reaching common objectives. Team members can acquire a sense of purpose and belonging that generates intrinsic motivation when a good leader instills confidence, trust, and loyalty in them. By coordinating organizational and individual goals, leaders also play a crucial role in assisting staff members in understanding how their contributions fit into the broader mission and vision.

Leaders may encourage people to take initiative, be creative, and strive for excellence in their job by fostering a sense of ownership and accountability through effective communication, coaching, and feedback. Effective leadership is more crucial than ever in the fast-paced, cutthroat corporate world of today, when retaining and engaging staff is crucial. By investing in leadership development and fostering a culture of leadership excellence, organizations can create a positive and motivating work environment that draws in, keeps, and maximizes the potential of their employees. Leadership affects employee motivation in ways that go beyond individual achievement to corporate success. Leaders can unleash their teams' full potential and promote creativity, productivity, and long-term sustainable growth by fostering a culture of trust, cooperation, and continuous improvement.

Traditional Leadership Approaches

Conventional leadership focuses on preserving efficiency, stability, and order in the workplace and is based on established organizational hierarchies. These methods usually place a strong emphasis on control, authority, and following rules and guidelines. Conventional leadership approaches have proven successful in certain situations, but they might not be as well-suited to address the complex and ever-changing problems of the contemporary workplace. Transactional leadership is a well-known conventional leadership style that is predicated on exchanging incentives and sanctions for worker performance. Clear expectations, performance goals, and rewards for achieving them are all set by transactional leaders, who also enforce penalties for poor performance. This strategy emphasizes compliance and task completion while motivating staff through a system of rewards and penalties.

Autocratic leadership is another conventional leadership style in which team members are tightly controlled and decisions are made by the leader alone. Autocratic leaders may not ask for input or feedback from their subordinates and usually have a centralized decision-making

authority. In the long term, this strategy may hinder innovation, creativity, and employee morale, even while it may work well in circumstances that call for prompt action or unambiguous guidance. Another conventional strategy is bureaucratic leadership, which is defined by rigorous adherence to policies, guidelines, and processes. Organizational stability and structure are given top priority by bureaucratic leaders, who depend on processes and procedures that have been formalized to ensure consistency and predictability. Although bureaucratic leadership in enterprises can provide clarity and order, it can also hinder agility, adaptation, and response to change. All things considered, conventional leadership techniques have advantages and disadvantages.

In some situations, they could be useful in fostering efficiency and order, but they can also stifle employee liberty, engagement, and creativity. Leaders must be prepared to modify their approaches in order to accommodate the changing demands and expectations of their workforce as firms change and encounter more complicated problems.

Modern Leadership Theories

The evolving needs of the contemporary workplace have given rise to modern leadership paradigms, which offer substitutes for traditional hierarchical structures and command-and-control leadership philosophies. Servant leadership is highly valued in these paradigms, empowerment, and teamwork with the goal of motivating and inspiring workers via autonomy, trust, and a common goal. One of the most well-known modern leadership concepts is transformational leadership.

By developing a compelling vision, encouraging creativity, and enabling staff members to realize their greatest potential, transformational leaders enthuse and encourage their groups. They set an example by being genuine, passionate, and dedicated to their own personal development. Transformational leaders may establish work environments where workers feel appreciated, inspired, and empowered to put forth their best efforts by fostering a culture of trust, transparency, and cooperation. Another modern paradigm that stresses humility, empathy, and service to others is servant leadership. Servant leaders put their followers' welfare and development first, working to encourage and enable them to reach their objectives. They actively listen to others, ask for input, and help their teams feel like they belong.

Strong, Servant leaders who put others' needs first and lead with compassion and integrity can build cohesive teams that are motivated to succeed. Democratic leadership is characterized by collaborative decision-making and participatory management practices. When making choices for the company, Democratic executives solicit the ideas, suggestions, and feedback of their employees. By fostering an open, cooperative, and empowered culture, Democratic leaders can use the combined knowledge and inventiveness of their teams and boost commitment, creativity, and buy-in. Additionally, flexible leadership is gaining popularity as companies navigate increasingly complex and uncertain environments. Because they are quick, flexible, and sensitive to change, adaptive leaders are able to guide their teams through times of transition and change.

They embrace failure as a normal component of the innovation process and promote experimentation, learning, and ongoing progress.

Research Methods

Research Design

Every researcher must use a study design to direct the phases of data collection and analysis for a project (Kinnear, 1989). The survey research approach is used in this study. Nardi (2018) asserts that the survey research design is more accurate in obtaining answers to the research questions and the perceptions of the respondents.

Sampling Technique

The purposive sampling technique is the selection strategy used in this study. For this study, five Nigerian beverage producers were particularly selected: Arla Foods Inc., MOJO Beverages Nigeria, Real Milk, Nestle Nigeria Plc, and Cadbury Nigeria PLC. These businesses were selected due to their expansion in the Nigerian market and their over ten years of experience in the beverage industry, as the demand for milk and chocolate beverages has increased over time.

Population of the Study and Sample Size Determination

Employees in the chosen organizations' Lagos, Nigeria, headquarters, as listed in the table below, constitute the study's target audience. Additionally, the finite population was reduced to a reasonable size using the Taro-Yamane formula.

$$\text{The formula is given as } n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

where N is the total number of targeted audiences, e is the allowable error i.e., 5%, and 1 is a constant value

S/N	COMPANY NAME	EMPLOYEES
1	Cadbury Nigeria PLC	163
2	MOJO Beverages Nigeria	133
3	Arla Foods Inc	125
4	Nestle Nigeria Plc	110
5	Real Milk	119
	Total	650

Source: www.Finelib.Com

Source of Data Collection

The study's main source of data was a structured questionnaire that was sent to employees of the selected beverage producing companies via Google Form. The instrument used a four-point Linkert scale checklist: highly agree, agree, disagree, and strongly disagree.

Validity and Reliability of Research Instrument

To ascertain the validity of the instruments, construct validity testing was conducted. This was accomplished by a comprehensive review of pertinent literature, demonstrating that this instrument is ideal for this kind of research. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was used to examine the instrument's dependability; the result was 0.917.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.917	248

Source: Field Study, 2024

Results and Discussion

The responses from the respondents of the chosen beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nigeria totaled 248. The data was evaluated using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) and presented in tables. To verify the degree of correlation between the variables and effect, regression analysis and Pearson correlation were employed for hypothesis testing.

Test for Hypotheses

H₀: The compensation structures of a few Nigerian beverage manufacturing enterprises are not significantly impacted by transactional leadership behaviors.

Model Summary

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.407 ^a	.694	.084	.73429

a. Predictors: (Constant), Transactional Leadership Style

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	3.272	1	3.491	7.621	.003 ^b
	Residual	54.768	84	.632		
	Total	49.104	85			

a. Dependent Variable: Reward System

b. Predictors: (Constant), Transactional Leadership

Style Coefficients^a

Model		B	Unstandardized Coefficients Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2.732	.410		6.659	.000
	Transactional Leadership Style	.343	.116	.307	2.959	.004

a. Dependent Variable: Reward System

Conclusion

The comparative analysis of employee motivation and leadership styles in the contemporary workplace has shed important light on the intricate relationships that influence organizational performance and behavior. Several important conclusions may be made by examining both conventional and modern leadership paradigms and how they affect worker motivation. First of all, the study emphasizes how crucial leadership is in affecting worker

engagement and motivation. Crucial duties carried out by leaders include establishing the tone for the corporate culture, providing direction, and inspiring their peers to attain success. Effective leadership approaches, including transformational, servant, and democratic leadership, have been shown to, provide workers a feeling of empowerment, purpose, and belonging, which boosts motivation and output.

Second, the study emphasizes how leadership must be flexible and adaptable to different workforce demographics and corporate circumstances. Leaders must be able to modify their approach to fit the particular requirements and expectations of their teams, even though some Certain leadership philosophies may be more effective in specific situations, such as democratic leadership in cooperative settings or transactional leadership in highly organized ones.

Recommendation

The following suggestions were proposed:

1. To promote job development within the organization, organizational leaders should offer technical training that stimulates growth, career advancement, and the achievement of personal objectives.
2. Organizational leaders should value employees' contributions and ideas by providing prompt, equitable compensation and recognition.
3. To boost employee loyalty, organizational leaders should offer recreational spaces, incentives, and different presents.

Reference

- Avolio, B., Bruce, J., & Bernard, M. (2004). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire: Manual and sampler set*. Mind Garden.
- Atomode, D. (2024). Energy efficiency in mechanical systems: A machine learning approach. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)*, 11(5), 441–448.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2021). Transformational leadership. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, 12(3), 88–99.
- Dave, A., Banerjee, C., & Patel, N. (2020). SRACARE: Secure remote attestation with code authentication and resilience engine. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Embedded Software and Systems (ICESS)* (pp. 1–8).
- Goleman, D., Richard, B., & Annie, M. (2013). *Primal leadership: Realizing the power of emotional intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Greenleaf, K. (2002). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
- House, M., & Robert, J. (1996). Path-goal theory of leadership: Lessons, legacy, and a reformulated theory. *Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 323–352.
- Jain, T. (2017). A study of impact of leadership styles on employee motivation and job satisfaction. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, 3(6), 128–132.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2012). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations*. Jossey-Bass.
- Kumar, V., & Gupta, P. (2021). A study on human resource practices, retention, and job satisfaction in the information technology industry: Basis for employee retention program. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, 7(4), 15–24.
- Liden, U., & Robert, C. (1999). Servant leadership: Development of a multidimensional measure and multi-level assessment. *Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 151–172.

- Marcone, M., Trigo, A., & Martín del Buey, F. (Missing year). [Not provided in list—cannot format].
- Meenu, S. (2013). Leadership styles and employee motivation: A comparative study in the modern workplace. *Darpan International Research Analysis*, 1(1), 36–39. <https://dira.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/48>
- Peter, G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. SAGE Publications.
- Philip, M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 539–569.
- Satyanarayan, K., Amrendra, K., & Rajendra, Z. (2022). Optimizing energy consumption for IoT in distributed computing. [Journal details not provided].
- Sharma, R. (2013). The impact of transformational leadership on employee motivation and organizational performance. *Darpan International Research Analysis*, 1(1), 24–30. <https://dira.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/5>
- Singla, A. (2024). Psychological factors in workplace productivity and employee well-being. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Inspiration and Psychology*, 1(1), 21–25. <https://doi.org/10.36676/ssjip.v1.i1.05>
- Vamsi, K. (2024). Ethical AI in customer interactions: Implementing safeguards and governance frameworks. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 7(12), 394–397.
- Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations*. Pearson

Cite this article:

Author(s), OJE Temitope Abiodun, ADENIYI Sarafadeen Diran (PhD), (2025). “ A Comparative Analysis of Leadership Styles and Their Impact On Employee Motivation in Contemporary Work Environments”, Name of the Journal: International Journal of Academic Research in Business, Arts and Science, (IJARBAS.COM), P, 23- 31 , DOI: www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17765325 , Issue: **11**, Vol.: 7, Article: 2, Month: **November**, Year: 2025. Retrieved from <https://www.ijarbas.com/all-issues/>

Published by



AND

ThoughtWares Consulting & Multi Services International (TWCMSI)

